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## Appendix 1

Table A1. Definition of time periods used in analyses of timing of migration and plankton dynamics. a: $11^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is the minimum temperature required for somatic growth in roach (van Dijk et al. 2002). b: both early outmigration and early return migration. The period accounted for $97.5 \%$ of out migrations and $43 \%$ of return migrations (Fig. A1). c: both late outmigration and late return migration. The period accounted for $2.5 \%$ of out migrations and $57 \%$ of return migrations (Fig. A1). d: chosen according to range of peak phytoplankton occurrence dates ( 20 March -25 April). e: for proportion of fish being away from lake, however, 20 March - 30 April, since only very few fish returned after this date

| Time period | Start date | End date | Start definition and rationale | End definition <br> and rationale | Used for |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pre-migration <br> growth period | 27 March | 31 August1 | First date with observed <br> temperatures above $11^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Potential start of <br> migration | out migration dependency of temperature | a |
| Early migration | 1 September | 20 March | Earliest observed migration date | Earliest <br> observed spring <br> migrants | calculation of timing of migration |  |
| Late migration | 21 March | 6 May | Earliest observed spring migrants | Last observed <br> return migration | calculation of timing of migration |  |
| Early winter | 2 October | 15 December | First date observed temperatures | Earliest average <br> early return <br> date | early return migration dependency of $11^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | temperature |
| Autumn | 1 September | 31 December | Earliest observed migration date | Arbitrary <br> definition of <br> season | Effect of season on zooplankton size and |  |
| phytoplankton biomass |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline \text { Spring } & \text { 1 January } & 6 \text { May } & \text { Arbitrary definition of season } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Last observed } \\ \text { return migration }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Effect of season on zooplankton size and } \\ \text { phytoplankton biomass }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Determination of day of maximum spring } \\ \text { phytoplankton }\end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c}\text { Phytoplankton } \\ \text { spring }\end{array} & 1 \text { March } & 31 \text { May } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Avoidance of peaks not associated } \\ \text { with spring plankton dynamics }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Avoidance of } \\ \text { summer peaks }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Dern }\end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c}\text { Zooplankton spring }\end{array} & 1 \text { April } & 30 \text { June } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Avoidance of peaks not associated } \\ \text { with spring plankton dynamics }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Avoidance of } \\ \text { summer peaks }\end{array} & \text { Determination of day of maximum spring } \\ \text { zooplankton }\end{array}\right]$

Table A2. Overview of analyses, dependent- and independent variables used in the study. a: we used first day of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ rather than preceding average temperatures for this analysis, since Brönmark et al. (2008) suggests that timing of return migration is dependent on when water temperature reaches a threshold set by local predation risk/potential growth rate tradeoffs. Also the use of first day of $11^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and first day of $12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ provided significant results in the analysis, but subsequent multiple regression analysis suggested that first day of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was the best explanatory variable. b : season were included as a factor in these analyses, to account for the fact that temperature development goes in opposite directions during autumn and spring and the subsequent possibility that size structure and biomass of the plankton community is affected differently by preceding periods, i.e. summer and winter.

| Analysis | Dependent variable | Independent variables | Type of test | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proportion migrants | Proportion migrants | Temperature | Linear regression |  |
| Timing of migration | Timing of early <br> outmigration | Temperature | Linear regression |  |
|  | Timing early return <br> migration | Temperature, average <br> outmigration date | Multiple linear <br> regression |  |
|  | Proportion of migrants <br> returning early relative <br> to late | Temperature, average <br> outmigration date | Multiple linear <br> regression | a |
|  | Timing late return <br> migration | Temperature, average <br> outmigration date | Multiple linear <br> regression | b |
|  | Phytoplankton biomass | Zooplankton mean size <br> Temperature, proportion <br> of migratory fish, season | ANCOVA <br> of migratory, fish, season | ANCOVA |


|  | peak biomass | of migratory fish, <br> phytoplankton biomass | regression |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Time between phyto- <br> and zooplankton peaks | Temperature, proportion <br> of migratory fish, <br> phytoplankton biomass | Multiple linear <br> regression |  |



Figure A1. Frequency distributions of time of first record of fish migrating from lake to streams (upper panel) and of time of last record for fish returning to lake from winter stay in streams (lower panel). For outmigration, fish could be divided into individuals that left the lake during late autumn or early winter and individuals that left the lake in spring. For the return migration, fish could be divided into individuals that returned scattered throughout the winter and fish that returned during a relatively brief period during spring. On the basis of the figures the cut date between early and late migration for both outmigration and return migration was chosen as March 20.

