

OIKOS

Appendix o19290

Downey, M. H. and Nice, C. C. 2011.
Experimental evidence of host race
formation in *Mitoura* butterflies
(Lepidoptera: Lycaeniade). – *Oikos*
120: 1165-1174.

Appendix 1

Oviposition preference results (Quade test, n = number of females). Populations pooled within host plant association at top of table, followed by individual population results. If significant differences among treatments were found, a post-hoc analysis was conducted (indicated by superscript letters).

Host Association		n	$df_{(N,D)}$	T_3	P value	Mean % of eggs laid on:		
						<i>J. ashei</i>	<i>J. pinchotii</i>	<i>J. virginiana</i>
<i>J. ashei</i>	All populations	61	2, 120	22.55	< 0.001	57.18 ^a	33.10 ^b	9.72 ^c
<i>J. pinchotii</i>	All populations	17	2, 32	10.18	0.0003	43.78 ^a	41.23 ^a	14.99 ^b
<i>J. virginiana</i>	All populations	20	2, 38	1.31	0.28	30.32	20.63	49.06
<i>J. pinchotii</i>	Big Spring	6	2,10	3.58	0.07	55.39	27.51	17.1
<i>J. pinchotii</i>	San Angelo	11	2, 20	5.72	0.01	37.45 ^a	48.71 ^a	13.84 ^b
<i>J. ashei-J. pinchotii</i>	Ind. Creek	28	2,54	10.79	< 0.001	53.60 ^a	43.29 ^a	3.11 ^b
<i>J. ashei-J. pinchotii</i>	Junction	25	2,48	19.83	< 0.001	72.04 ^a	22.70 ^b	5.26 ^c
<i>J. ashei</i>	Guadalupe	20	2,38	13.70	< 0.001	65.54 ^a	28.88 ^b	5.59 ^c
<i>J. ashei</i>	Pedernales Falls	12	2,22	6.79	0.005	61.12 ^a	22.17 ^b	16.71 ^b
<i>J. ashei</i>	Freeman Ranch	29	2,56	9.03	< 0.001	49.79 ^a	40.53 ^a	9.69 ^b
<i>J. virginiana</i>	Welsh	14	2,26	3.18	0.058	27.00	17.03	55.97
<i>J. virginiana</i>	Oak Thicket	6	2,10	0.30	0.74	38.05	29.02	32.93

Appendix 2

Mean percent survival of larvae reared on different hosts (ANOVA, n = number of rearing groups). Populations pooled within host plant association at top of table, followed by individual population results. Superscript letters indicate results of post-hoc test if significant differences were found.

Host Association		Population	n	$df_{(N,D)}$	F	P value	Mean % survival of larvae (\pm SE) reared on:		
							<i>J. ashei</i>	<i>J. pinchotii</i>	<i>J. virginiana</i>
<i>J. ashei</i>	All populations	466	2, 463	27	<0.001	54.07 (2.26) ^a	47.74 (2.40) ^a	29.62 (2.51) ^b	
<i>J. pinchotii</i>	All populations	180	2, 177	16.1	<0.001	66.08 (3.58) ^a	73.33 (3.61) ^a	44.77 (3.57) ^b	
<i>J. virginiana</i>	All populations	167	2, 164	1.05	0.35	44.14 (4.15)	34.56 (4.40)	39.42 (4.55)	
<i>J. pinchotii</i>	Big Spring	65	2, 62	8.7	<0.001	63.15 (5.95) ^a	70.15 (6.13) ^a	33.70 (5.95) ^b	
<i>J. pinchotii</i>	San Angelo	115	2, 112	7.68	<0.001	67.82 (4.42) ^a	73.53 (4.41) ^a	51.30 (4.40) ^b	
<i>J. ashei-J. pinchotii</i>	Ind. Creek	250	2, 247	11.2	<0.001	63.42 (3.38) ^a	56.11 (3.37) ^a	41.18 (3.49) ^b	
<i>J. ashei-J. pinchotii</i>	Junction	198	2, 195	16.9	<0.001	76.53 (3.58) ^a	61.58 (3.56) ^b	47.39 (3.38) ^c	
<i>J. ashei</i>	Guadalupe	198	2, 195	11.4	<0.001	61.83 (3.59) ^a	60.96 (3.72) ^a	39.32 (3.86) ^b	
<i>J. ashei</i>	Pedernales Falls	94	2, 91	10.4	<0.001	55.78 (4.77) ^a	46.46 (4.80) ^a	23.45 (5.27) ^b	
<i>J. ashei</i>	Freeman Ranch	173	2, 170	11.4	<0.001	45.82 (3.21) ^a	33.58 (3.60) ^b	21.96 (3.70) ^b	
<i>J. virginiana</i>	Welsh	101	2, 99	1.39	0.25	42.72 (4.90)	29.49 (5.38)	39.39 (5.55)	
<i>J. virginiana</i>	Oak Thicket	33	2, 30	0.63	0.54	49.52 (10.04)	55.73 (10.38)	40.17 (10.43)	

Appendix 3

Mean time to pupation (d) of larvae reared on different hosts (ANOVA, n = number of rearing groups). Populations pooled within host plant association at top of table, followed by individual population results. Superscript letters indicate results of post-hoc test if significant differences were found.

Host Association	Population	n	$df_{(N,D)}$	F	P value	Mean time (d) \pm SE when reared on:		
						<i>J. ashei</i>	<i>J. pinchotii</i>	<i>J. virginiana</i>
<i>J. ashei</i>	All populations	338	2,335	4.09	<0.001	31.40 (0.44) ^a	33.97 (0.47) ^b	33.76 (0.62) ^b
<i>J. pinchotii</i>	All populations	160	2,157	8.20	<0.001	30.24 (0.52) ^a	29.58 (0.52) ^a	33.11 (0.59) ^b
<i>J. virginiana</i>	All populations	91	2,88	5.11	<0.001	34.07 (1.23) ^{ab}	38.11 (1.16) ^a	31.04 (1.28) ^b
<i>J. pinchotii</i>	Big Spring	54	2,51	10.20	<0.001	27.75 (1.16) ^a	30.80 (1.16) ^{ab}	34.30 (0.90) ^b
<i>J. pinchotii</i>	San Angelo	106	2,103	4.90	0.009	32.40 (0.64) ^a	29.60 (0.72) ^b	32.05 (0.62) ^a
<i>J. ashei-J. pinchotii</i>	Ind Creek	197	2,194	15.77	<0.001	30.71 (0.54) ^a	32.31 (0.57) ^a	35.25 (0.61) ^b
<i>J. ashei-J. pinchotii</i>	Junction	64	2,61	58.84	<0.001	27.49 (0.68) ^a	34.26 (0.61) ^b	37.64 (0.68) ^c
<i>J. ashei</i>	Guadalupe	156	2,153	18.21	<0.001	29.96 (0.51) ^a	33.29 (0.46) ^b	34.22 (0.59) ^b
<i>J. ashei</i>	Pedernales Falls	32	2,61	0.38	0.680	33.01 (1.03)	33.82 (1.07)	34.46 (1.35)
<i>J. ashei</i>	Freeman Ranch	118	2,115	2.87	0.060	31.96 (0.97)	35.15 (1.00)	32.60 (1.06)
<i>J. virginiana</i>	Welsh	68	2,65	8.32	<0.001	33.16 (1.37) ^a	39.68 (1.33) ^b	32.22 (1.68) ^a
<i>J. virginiana</i>	Oak Thicket	23	2,20	11.32	<0.001	35.57 (1.56) ^a	35.06 (1.25) ^a	27.20 (1.39) ^b

Appendix 4

Mean developmental efficiency (DE) of larvae reared on different hosts (ANOVA, n = number of rearing groups). Populations pooled within host plant association at top of table, followed by individual population results. Superscript letters indicate results of post-hoc test if significant differences were found.

Host Association	Population	n	$df_{(N,D)}$	F	P value	Mean DE (\pm)SE when reared on:		
						<i>J. ashei</i>	<i>J. pinchotii</i>	<i>J. virginiana</i>
<i>J. ashei</i>	All populations	338	2,335	35.14	<0.001	3.06 (0.05) ^a	2.72 (0.05) ^b	2.37 (0.07) ^c
<i>J. pinchotii</i>	All populations	160	2,157	15.96	<0.001	3.24 (0.06) ^a	3.05 (0.06) ^a	2.68 (0.08) ^b
<i>J. virginiana</i>	All populations	91	2,88	50.62	<0.001	2.12 (0.07) ^a	1.87 (0.09) ^a	3.02 (0.08) ^b
<i>J. pinchotii</i>	Big Spring	54	2,51	17.13	<0.001	3.46 (0.10) ^a	2.85 (0.10) ^b	2.45 (0.15) ^b
<i>J. pinchotii</i>	San Angelo	106	2,103	7.08	0.001	3.13 (0.07) ^a	3.16 (0.07) ^a	2.77 (0.09) ^b
<i>J. ashei-J. pinchotii</i>	Ind. Creek	197	2,194	26.16	<0.001	3.05 (0.07) ^a	2.85 (0.07) ^a	2.27 (0.09) ^b
<i>J. ashei-J. pinchotii</i>	Junction	64	2,61	46.85	<0.001	4.03 (0.10) ^a	2.99 (0.13) ^b	2.41 (0.15) ^c
<i>J. ashei</i>	Guadalupe	156	2,153	39.04	<0.001	3.26 (0.06) ^a	2.87 (0.06) ^b	2.40 (0.08) ^c
<i>J. ashei</i>	Pedernales Falls	32	2,61	4.54	0.015	3.04 (0.12) ^a	2.66 (0.14) ^b	2.40 (0.21) ^b
<i>J. ashei</i>	Freeman Ranch	118	2,115	6.68	0.002	2.79 (0.08) ^a	2.44 (0.11) ^b	2.26 (0.14) ^b
<i>J. virginiana</i>	Welsh	68	2,65	33.09	<0.001	2.10 (0.08) ^b	1.86 (0.11) ^b	2.94 (0.09) ^a
<i>J. virginiana</i>	Oak Thicket	23	2,20	22.30	<0.001	2.16 (0.15) ^b	1.89 (0.15) ^b	3.41 (0.18) ^a

Appendix 5.

Results of ANOVAs for each performance response variable, conducted using single-host-associated populations.

Response Variable: Percent Survival			
Source of Variation	df	F ratio	P value
Natal host association	2	23.13	<0.001
Population (within natal host association)	4	12.32	<0.001
Treatment	2	18.62	<0.001
Natal * Treatment	4	3.31	<0.001
Population (within natal) * Treatment	8	1.00	0.433

Response Variable: Weight at Pupation			
Source of Variation	df	F ratio	P value
Natal host association	2	21.29	<0.001
Population (within natal host association)	4	9.41	<0.001
Treatment	2	5.55	0.0041
Natal * Treatment	4	17.41	<0.001
Population (within natal) * Treatment	8	0.74	0.65

Response Variable: Time to Pupation			
Source of Variation	df	F ratio	P value
Natal host association	2	13.92	<0.001
Population (within natal host association)	4	1.75	0.1366
Treatment	2	6.25	0.0021
Natal * Treatment	4	8.08	<0.001
Population (within natal) * Treatment	8	1.99	0.0454

Response Variable: Developmental Efficiency			
Source of Variation	df	F ratio	P value
Natal host association	2	28.39	<0.001
Population (within natal host association)	4	5.36	0.0003
Treatment	2	11.30	<0.001
Natal * Treatment	4	19.88	<0.001
Population (within natal) * Treatment	8	2.88	0.0038